

STRATEGIC HRM PRACTICES: INVESTIGATING ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS INFLUENCE

Hazril Izwar Ibrahim, Senior Lecturer, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia

E-mail: hazrili@yahoo.com

Khairul Anuar Mohammad Shah, Senior Lecturer, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia,

E-mail: khairulms@usm.my

Abstract

The article investigates how organizational characteristics variables, such as firms' country of origin, firms' age and firms' size, influence the implementation of strategic human resource (SHRM) practices in organizations. The article contributes towards understanding whether there are similarities in the adoption of SHRM practices between firms according to the abovementioned characteristics. A total of one hundred and twenty-one (121) manufacturing firms in the electrical and electronic sector in Malaysia participated in this study. The study discovers that firms in this sector practices average to high level of SHRM practices. Finally, among the three organizational context variables, only firm size showed significant difference in firms' decision to adopt SHRM practices.

Keywords: Country of origin, firm age, firm size, strategic HRM practices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Firms competing in the global environment face a multitude of new demands, in the forms of external and internal pressures, on their organization and people, often being pushed simultaneously into several contradictory directions. In response, the new global organizing paradigm is centered on complementarities and management process. Firms must be able to transform themselves into a global organization with a fluid and evolving dynamic network, which moves away from traditional and static structural solutions to global business challenges. Firms must embrace global integration and coordination to survive and prosper in the new global competition but at the same time must strive for local flexibility and speed. Firms have to nurture global organizational learning by stimulating creativity, innovation and free flow of ideas but also advocate a disciplined and methodical approach to continuous improvement. Succeeding in a global economy requires not only an open and empowered organizational climate, but also a tightly focused competitive culture (Pucik, 1996; Sieh, 2000; Shahid, 2001).

Naturally, the question that arises is how firms can face the competitive threats posed by globalization. The premise of this paper is that human resources are one of the most important factors providing flexibility and adaptability to organizations. As noted by Rundle (1997) people are the adaptive mechanism in determining how they will respond to competitive environment. Khatri (1999) opined that strategic human resource management has emerged mainly in the recognition of the fact that human resources need to be managed strategically for the firm to enjoy sustainable competitive advantage over competition. Therefore, the central theme of this paper is the strategic deployment of a highly skilled, knowledgeable and capable workforce to achieve competitive advantage and meet organizational goals.

Bae and Rowley (2001) noted that the impacts of globalization on HRM assert itself via the opening up and penetration of economies to external forces and influences. This may occur through exposure to other countries' HRM practices and direct importation of such practices by indigenous and foreign multinational corporations (MNC). Globalization's universalizing tendencies and implications implied "universal truths" that certain practices, including those of HRM, could be applied in any location. A central proposition is that because of political, economic, social and technological forces, brought about by globalization, there is a worldwide tendency for countries and within them HRM, to become similar as the copying and transfer of practices was encouraged. In other words, HRM practices would converge.

According to McKinley, Sanchez and Schick (1995) and Thompson and Heron (2005) practices are adopted because of three specific social forces; first, constraining forces, which shift as practices once viewed as negative become

interpreted positively and gain legitimacy. Second, cloning forces which pressurize firms to mimic the actions of leading companies in the face of uncertainty and third, learning forces which are shaped through processes in educational institutions and professional associations.

Globalization has changed the dynamics of traditional HRM by stressing on the aspects of commitment, flexibility, quality and strategic alignment (Perkins, 2003). Therefore the challenges facing HRM are: the development of a global mindset inside the organizations' human resources, that is the understanding of the new global competitive environment and how it affects organizations; the alignment of human resource practices and processes with the strategic needs of the firm; and enhancement of competencies and capabilities within the human resources function (Pucik, 1996; Von Glinow, Drost & Teagarden, 2002). Therein lay the role of strategic human resource management, which is to extract the maximum value out of human resources and the key factor that allows firm to succeed in doing just that is the proper motivation of employees through perfectly designed organizational structure and a focused approach.

2. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main objective of the study is investigate whether the implementation of SHRM practices in the electrical and electronics manufacturing sector in Malaysia is influenced by internal organizational factors such as the firms' country of origin, firms' age and firm size. The rationale behind this investigation is that in order firms to compete and survive in a globalized economy, the firms must be able to develop and retain their human resource talent and the implementation of SHRM practices will provide the firms with such opportunity.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

A thorough review of the literature is conducted addressing the relationship between country of origin, firms' age, firm size and SHRM practices.

3.1 Country of origin

A number of studies have noted the influence of national differences on SHRM choice and practices of multinational companies (MNC) in overseas subsidiaries. Zhang and Edwards (2003) in their study on Chinese MNCs in UK, found that SHRM choice and practice exhibits obvious characteristics of country of origins and despite arguments that HRM in MNCs is moving toward globalization or convergence, the fact there is evidence that the country of origin effects suggest that there may be limit to adoption of certain HR strategy and practice.

Porter's (1985) notion of the "competitive advantage of nations" implies that the success of international companies springs from the characteristics of their national resource bases. Ruijgrok and van Tulder (1995) argued that on several dimensions MNCs exhibit national characteristics, even when the home base does not account for the bulk of sales, operations and employment, the home nation is almost always the primary locus of ownership and control, in other words, strategic decisions and innovative activities are still disproportionately located in the home country.

Dissemination by MNCs of practices in areas such as HRM has been described by Streeck (1991) as "regime competition", his concern has been primarily whether weakly regulated systems would attract potential capital way from those with better employee protection. However such notions by have been dispelled by Ferner(1997) who questioned whether MNCs from strong regulatory systems actually adopt and export the practices of their parent country and whether this gives them a competitive advantage in the host country where they operate. If so, they may actually form a conduit for the export of elements of a more highly regulated HR regime with more permissive systems.

There is a relatively small body of research pointing to the systematic differences in the ways which MNCs of different nationalities manage their human resources. A number of generalizations emerge from such studies and they provide substantive support for the notion that nationality is a significant determinant of MNC behavior. A series of studies has found U.S. MNCs to be relatively centralized and formalized in their HRM; their headquarters set or influence policy in wage systems, collective bargaining, union recognition, welfare and training policies (for example; Hamill, 1984; Negandhi, 1986; Bartlett & Goshal, 1989; Yuen & Hui, 1993). Enderwick (1985) suggested

that US firms have been consistent innovators in industrial relations, by introducing productivity bargaining into Britain in 1960s along with fixed- term agreements.

U.S. styles of multinational HRM have typically been contrasted with Japanese and European style. Yuen and Hui (1993), in their comparison of U.S. and Japan MNCs in Singapore focused on the differences in the personnel function of the two home- country business cultures. In the American model, Yuen and Hui (1993) found that the labour management in US firms is posited on an “economic-contractual” model of hiring and firing, market determined wages, high labor mobility and they have been found to be antagonistic towards unions (Edwards & Ferner, 2002). Indicating that HRM has largely evolved into bureaucracies applying formalized and standardized personnel policies. In contrast, the Japanese model of HRM is a “human capital” model, based on “multidimensional employment relations” with focus on social and economic aspects, the predominance of internal labor markets and a consequent concern with recruitment, selection, training and development. These characteristics have been reflected in the behavior of Japanese MNCs in Singapore (Ferner, 1997). According to Brewster (1995) citing Thurley and Wirdenius (1991) stated that European model has the following characteristics: reflects key values such as pluralism and tolerance especially towards union and workers’ representation and is associated with balanced stakeholder philosophy and the concept of social partners.

Tregaskis (1998) in her study of MNCs operating in UK, found that the implementation of HRM practices in the subsidiaries depend very much on the country of origin of the firms. Thereby confirming the earlier findings by Brewster and Bournois (1991) and Rosenzweig and Nohria (1994) where significant differences between nations in their HRM practices were found and this factor even influenced how their multinational corporations implement their HRM in host countries.

The implications for the research presented by the arguments above clarifies that one can expect that MNCs will strongly exhibit the impact of their country of origins and this will affect in the implementation of a strategic human resource management.

Thus based on the above review this hypothesis is generated:

H1: There is significant difference in the implementation of SHRM practices according to the firms’ country of origin.

3.2 Firm age

Scholars have argued that as firms move through various stages of development, differing problems must be addressed, resulting in the need for different management skills, priorities and structural configurations (Kimberly & Miles, 1980; Kazanjian, 1988; Smith, Mitchell & Summer, 1985). Commitment HR systems may be more important in the early stages of business development and as the business grows, more employees need to be recruited and control or traditional HR systems becomes more important. Since younger firms tend to be in an earlier stage of development than older firms, firm age may be of an influence on the orientation and implementation of commitment HR systems (Verheul, 2004). Organizational life cycle theory assumes that firms go through a sequence of growth and change, with the process driven by organizational learning and increases in size which is related to a firm aging, which also applies to HRM practices. New firms must work with the resources available and to succeed they must be able to react and mobilize whatever resources available depending on the various personal, organizational and environmental conditions (Aldrich & Langton, 1997).

Welbourne (1998) in her survey of public listed firms found that firms implementing administrative or traditional HRM tend to be older and unionized. Fields et al. (2000) stated that older firms were more likely to nurture and retain managers whose organizational knowledge accounts for growth. Verheul (2004) found that firm’s age does not influence the orientation of the type of HRM practices implemented in a firm.

Based on the abovementioned review, this hypothesis is generated:

H2: There is significant difference in the implementation of SHRM practices according to the firms’ age.

3.3 Firm size

The influence of firm size on HR practices is well documented in theoretical and empirical studies. For example, institutional theory suggests that larger organizations should adopt more sophisticated and socially responsive HR

practices because they are more visible and are under more pressure to gain legitimacy. However, economic considerations suggest that because of the costs associated with many aspects of HRM, acceptable economies of scale must be reached before sophisticated HRM systems can be implemented (Bawa, 2001). Jackson and Schuler (1995) in their review of HR literatures, presented evidence to show HR practices varies systematically with firm size. Ng and Maki (1993) in a study conducted in Canada found that larger firms are more likely to have the resources to employ human resources professionals, who may be more aware of the latest trend in managing human resources. Wagar (1998) examined 10 HR practices and found that firm size is a major determinant of the extent of adopting a number of formal appraisal systems and the presence of an HR Industrial Relations department.

However, studies by Golhar and Deshpande (1997) in Canada did not find any differences between small and large firms in terms of the HR practices that they adopt. Similarly, Dessler and Turner (1991) found that large and small firms in Canada are equally committed to employee growth career growth and development. Golhar and Deshpande (1997) reasoned that the adoption of new production philosophies such just- in- time (JIT) and total quality management (TQM) may have forced small manufacturing firm to address HRM issues. According to Fields, Chan and Akhtar (2000) size is related to the type of HRM strategy implemented as they found that larger firms in Hong Kong have more complete and sophisticated human resource systems as they have more workers to control, therefore the firms were able to spread the costs over more employees and have to be more image conscious due to their higher visibility.

Based on the abovementioned review, this hypothesis is generated:

H3: There is significant difference in the implementation of SHRM practices according to the firm size.

4. METHODS

This study's research methodology comprises the information on the research design, sampling, and measurement of research variables.

4.1 Research design

According to Cutcher-Gershenfeld (1991) SHRM practices should be measured as a combination of practices in a bundle, rather than individual practices. Furthermore a research that focuses on the impact of individual HR practices may produce misleading results, with a single practice capturing the effect of the entire HR system (Wan, Ong & Kok, 2000). According to Barnard and Rodgers (2000) some of the most prominent theoretical and empirical literature published in recent years suggested that the organization need to bundle together the appropriate combinations of HRM practices to realize the synergistic effects that follow from the interactions among complementary practices.

Thus, the study utilized SHRM practices scales that will be measured as a single set of combined practices. SHRM practices are measured from items derived from Delery and Doty (1996), Bae and Lawler (2000) and Huselid et al. (1997). The variable of SHRM practices was designed to tap into the employee involvement/ empowerment construct as recommended by Lawler's (1986) and Pfeffer's (1998) conceptualization of SHRM, where, the practices are considered to be strategic because the variable contains these elements: power sharing, shared communications, enhancement of knowledge and rewards. This measure examines the extent of SHRM practices are implemented in the manufacturing firms. The SHRM practices items include: team-based work, workforce flexibility, internal career opportunities, employment security, employee participation, training and development, performance- based pay, empowerment, profit sharing, performance appraisal and employee relations.

Internal career opportunities, training and development, employment security, performance appraisal, employee participation and profit sharing were derived from Delery and Doty (1997). Performance- based pay and empowerment were derived from Bae and Lawler (2000). Workforce flexibility and employee relations were derived from Huselid et al. (1997). This measure requires the HR manager to state the extent these HR practices were being implemented in the particular firms. Responses is measured on a five point Likert scale ranging from 1= never to 5= always.

The questionnaire also contains five items to indicate demographic information of the firms, which includes home country origin of the firm, the duration the company has been established and number of employees.

A number of studies have found that the implementation of HR practices in firms depends very much on the country of origin of the firms (Zhang & Edwards, 2003; Yuen & Hui, 1993; Tregaskis, 1998). In this section firms were required to indicate their country of origin, the countries were coded as a= United States, b= European Union, c= Japan, d= South Korea, e= Taiwan and f= Malaysia. The categorization was adapted from Bae, Chen and Lawler (1998) and Wan et al. (2002) with Malaysia added as the host country.

Consistent with previous studies in this area, the respondents were required to indicate their total workforce based on five items coded as, a= 100 or less, b= 101 to 300, c= 301 to 500, d= 501 to 1000 and e= more than 1000. The categorization of this variable was adopted from Rozhan (1998).

Respondents were required to indicate the number of years their firms have been in operation, the scale ranged from a= less than 1 year, b= more than 1 year less than 5 years, c= more than 5 years less than 10 years, d= more than 10 years less than 15 years and e= more than 15 years.

4.2 Sampling and data collection

In order to meet the representativeness criteria of a good sample collection, the questionnaires for the study were posted to all 700 companies in the electrical and electronics manufacturing sector. The database for the population was derived from the Federation of Malaysian Manufacturers-MATRADE (Malaysia External Trade Development Corporation) Electrical and Electronics Industry Directory. This study is conducted in a single industry and the database is based on the MATRADE directory, specifically because it has the most comprehensive industry coverage and an updated list.

5. FINDINGS

There are two parts in the data analysis. First part is descriptive analysis which is based on demography information of the respondents; the second part is the analysis of the effects between the organizational context variables and SHRM using one-way ANOVA.

5.1. Descriptive analysis

From the 700 questionnaires distributed to electrical and electronics manufacturing firms in Malaysia, 121 completed questionnaires were returned representing a response of 17.2 %. Table I summarizes the information pertaining to the profile of the firms that responded. This response rate was no different from several other surveys conducted in Malaysia, which tend to obtain a response rate of 15- 25 per cent (for example Sarachek & Aziz, 1983; Rozhan, 1991; Hazman, 1998; Kanapathy & Jabnoun, 1998; Rozhan, Rohayu & Rasidah, 2001). A total of 51.2 % are Malaysian firms, 23.1% are Japanese firms, 10.7% are firms from the European Union, 8.3% firms are from the United States of America, 5% of the firms are from Taiwan and 1.2 % of the firms are South Korean firms. As for firm's age distribution, the majority of the firms (31.4%) have been operating more than 5 years and less than 10 years, while 13.2 % have been established more than 1 year and less than 5 years. With regards to firm size, 28.9% of the firms have less than 100 employees in their employment and 17.4 % of the firms have both between 501-100 employees or more than 1000 employees.

Table I: Demographic of Firms

		Frequencies	%
Country of origin	United States	10	8.3
	European Union	13	10.7
	Japan	28	23.1
	South Korea	2	1.7
	Taiwan	6	5.0
	Malaysia	62	51.2
Firm's Age	More than 1 less than 5 years	16	13.2
	More than 5 less than 10 years	38	31.4
	More than 10 less than 15 years	35	28.9
	More than 15 years	32	26.4

Firms' size	100 or less	35	28.9
	101 to 300	21	17.4
	301 to 500	18	14.9
	501 to 1000	26	21.5
	More than 1000	21	17.4

The composite score were categorized into three class intervals of low, moderate and high with equal width based on the formulation by Bryman and Cramer (1996), as stated below:

$$WCI = \frac{(HPCS) - (LPCS)}{3}$$

WCI: Width of composite index

HPCS: Highest possible composite score

LPCS: Lowest possible composite score

Table II: Level of SHRM

Level of SHRM	Frequency	Percent
Low (92 & less)	-	-
Moderate(93- 145)	87	71.9
High (146- 200)	34	28.1
Total	121	100

In accordance with the ratings of below 3 as low, 3- 4 as moderate and 5 as high, to indicate the level SHRM practices implemented in the firms. The result table II indicates that the levels of SHRM practices implemented in the firms is moderate (71.9 %) and high (28.1%). This indicates that SHRM practices are implemented in the electrical and electronics manufacturing firms operating in Malaysia.

5.2. Data analysis

Table III: One-way ANOVA for Country of Origin, Firms' Age & Firms' Size

Variables	P Value		
	Country of Origin	Firms' Age	Firms' size
Strategic HRM	0.530	0.205	0.018*

Table III shows that only firms' size is significantly different in the implementation of SHRM practices in the firms at P value (0.018), thus H1 is accepted meaning that there is significant difference in the deployment of SHRM practices according to firms' size. By referring to the multiple comparison output, Tukey's statistic indicates that the significance (0.001) occurs at the group of 101 to 300 employees. Meanwhile H2 and H3 are rejected as the results show that for both variable of country of origin and firms' age, there are no significant differences in the deployment of SHRM practices in the firms.

6. CONCLUSION

One possible explanation for the result is perhaps that firms both local and foreign have realized the effectiveness of having HR practices that will increase knowledge, skill and ability of employees, in an uncertain market environment brought about by globalization. The result, while inconsistent with a majority of prior studies, such as Bartlett & Goshal (1989), Yuen & Hui (1993), Edwards & Ferner (2002), Tregaskis (1998) and Rosenzweig & Nohria (1994); may provide an indication that due to the adoption and implementation of progressive HR practices by foreign firms, local firms were pressured into adopting such practices in order to remain competitive. Bae and Rowley (2001) defined this scenario as convergence of HRM practices, where, due to political, economic, social and technological forces brought about by globalization there is a tendency for HRM practices to become similar due to copying and transfer of practices. DiMaggio and Powell (1983) used institutional theory to explain this phenomenon and suggested three mechanisms of isomorphic change that could have encouraged this convergence: first, coercive

isomorphism or constraining forces, which shift as practices once viewed negatively become interpreted positively and gain legitimacy. Secondly, mimetic isomorphism or cloning forces, which pressurize firms to mimic the action of leading companies in the face of uncertainty. Thirdly, normative isomorphism or learning forces, which are shaped through processes in educational institutions and professional associations. Together these forces push firms to adopt certain HRM practices. Geary and Roche (2001) termed this HRM convergence as the “spill-over” effect, where the introduction of progressive HRM practices by foreign firms encouraged local firms to experiment with and implement such progressive practices in order to survive the uncertainty in the market environment.

The influence of firm age in implementing SHRM practices was not significant. This finding implies that firm age or life cycles stage does not constrain or inhibit the implementation of SHRM practices; in other words firms regardless of their age, whether at growth stage or mature stage have the capabilities to implement SHRM practices. While life-cycle theory indicated that firms at different stages will implement different types of practices to address different problems (Aldrich & Langton, 1997; Verheul, 2004); Eggers, Leahy and Churchill (1994) stated that age alone does not indicate the firms' ability to bring about organizational changes if the firms are not able to utilize their resources efficiently. This is supported by Huang and Cullen (2001) where it was found that the firms' age was not related to the deployment of HRM practices to influence the organizational performance. Therefore, the reason for the non-significant result of this hypothesis could be attributed to firms, at the different stages of their organizational life cycle, have to implement SHRM practices in order to compete against each other and survive in a volatile and uncertain business environment.

The significance of firm size influence on the adoption of SHRM practices and firm implies that the implementation of SHRM practices differs between firms with different sizes. The result is complimentary to the previous findings by Ng and Maki (1993) and Wagar (1998). Possibly, firms of different sizes differs in the implementation of SHRM practices as larger firms could have more complete and sophisticated human resource systems compared to small firms, as large firms need to spread out their cost, higher level of control and image consciousness due to their higher visibility in society.

Biography

Hazril Izwar Ibrahim, PhD. is a Senior Lecturer and the Program Chairman of Department of Organizational Behaviour, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. His interest is in the fields of Human Resource Management and Organizational Behaviour studies.

Khairul Anuar Mohammad Shah, PhD. is Senior Lecturer in the Department of International Business, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. His interest is in the area of cross-cultural management studies.

REFERENCES

- Aldrich, H. & Langton, N. (1997) “Human resource management practices and organizational life cycles”, *Research paper for Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Papers, Babson College, www.babson.edu*
- Bae, J. & Lawler, J.L. (2000) Organizational and HRM strategies in Korea: Impact on firm performance in an emerging economy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(3): 502- 517.
- Bae, J. & Rowley, C. (2001) The impact of globalization on HRM: the case of South Korea. *Journal of World Business*, 36: 402-428.
- Bae, J., Chen, S. & Lawler, J.L. (1998) Variations in human resource management: MNC home country and host country effects. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*. 9: 653- 670.
- Barnard, M.E. & Rodgers, R.A. (2000) How are internally oriented HRM policies related to high- performance work practices? Evidence from Singapore. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11: 1017- 1046.
- Bartlett, C.A. & Ghoshal, S. (1989) *Managing Across Borders: The Transnational Solution*. Harvard Business School Press: Cambridge.

- Bawa, M.A. (2001) Effects of Human Resource Management Practices on Firm Performance: An Empirical Study on Oil Palm Estates in Malaysia. *Unpublished doctoral dissertation*, School of Management, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Brewster, C. & Bournois, F. (1991) *The Management of Expatriates*. Kogan Page: London.
- Brewster, C. (1995) Towards a 'European' model of human resource management. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 4: 218-228.
- Bryman, A. & Cramer, D. (1996) *Quantitative Data Analysis for Social Scientists*. Routledge: London.
- Cutcher- Gershenfeld, J. (1991) The impact on economic performance of a transformation in workplace relations. *Industrial and Labour Relations Review*. 44: 241- 260.
- Delery, J.E. & Doty, D.H. (1996) Modes of theorizing in strategic human resource management: test of universalistic, contingency and configurational perspectives. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39, 802- 835.
- Deshpande, S.P. & Golhar, D. (1994) HRM practices in large and small manufacturing firms: a comparative study. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 32: 49-56.
- Dessler, G. & Turner, A. (1991) *Human Resource Management in Canada*. Prentice Hall: Ontario.
- Di Maggio, P.J. & Powell, W.W. (1983) The iron cage revisited: institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48: 147- 160.
- Edwards, T. & Ferner, A. (2002) The renewed American challenge: a framework for understanding employment practice in US multinationals. *Industrial Relations Journal*, 33: 313-328.
- Eggers, J.H., Leahy, K.T. & Churchill, N.C. (1994) Stages of small business growth revisited: insights into growth path and leadership/management skill. Cited in Aldrich, H. & Langton, N. (eds) Human resource management practices and organizational life cycles. *Research paper for Frontiers of Entrepreneurship Papers, Babson College, www.babson.edu*.
- Enderwick, P. (1985) *Multinational Business and Labour*. Croom Helm: Beckenham.
- Ferner, A. (1997) Country of origins effects and HRM in multinational companies. *Human Resource Management Journal*. 7: 236-254.
- Fields, D., Chan, A. and Akhtar, S. (2000) Organizational context and human resource management strategy: a structural equation analysis of Hong Kong firms. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11: 264-277.
- Geary, J.F. & Roche, W.K. (2001) Multinationals and human resource practices in Ireland: a rejection of the "new conformance thesis. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12: 109-127.
- Hazman, S. (1998) The level of participation and influence of HR managers in the strategic management process in Malaysian corporations. *Malaysian Management Review* 33: 47-60.
- Huselid, M.A., Jackson, S.E. & Schuler, R.S. (1997) Technical and strategic human resource effectiveness as determinants of firm performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40: 171- 188.
- Hamill, J. (1984) Labour relations decision making in multinational corporations. *Industrial Relations*, 15: 30-34.
- Huang, H.J. & Cullen, J.B. (2001) Labour-flexibility and related HRM practices: a study of large Taiwanese manufacturers. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 18: 33-39.

- Jackson, S.E. & Schuler, R.S. (1995) Understanding human resource management in the context of organizations and their environments. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 46,237- 264.
- Kanapathy,K. and Jabnoun N. (1998) Are ISO 9000 and TQM programmes paying off for Malaysian manufacturing companies. *Malaysian Management Review*, 33: 40-46.
- Kazanjian, R.K. (1988) Relations of dominant problems to stages of growth in technology based new ventures. *Academy of Management Journal*. 31: 257-279.
- Khatri, N. (1999) Emerging issues in strategic HRM in Singapore. *International Journal of Manpower*, 20: 516- 529.
- Kimberly, J.R. & Miles R.H. (1980) *The Organizational Life Cycles*. Jossey- Bass: San Francisco.
- McKinley, W., Sanchez,C.M. & Schick, A.G. (1995) Organization downsizing: constraining, cloning and learning. *Academy of Management Executive*, 9: 32- 44.
- Ng, I. & Maki,D. (1993) Human resource management in Canadian manufacturing sector. *The International Journal Of Human Resource Management*, 4: 897- 916.
- Lawler, E. (1986) *High-Involvement Management*. Jossey-Bass: San Francisco.
- Negandhi, A. (1986) Role and structure of German multinationals: a comparative profile. In Marchazina, K. and Staehle, W. (eds). *European Approaches to International Management* . Walter de Gruyter: New York.
- Osterman, P. (1994) How common is workplace transformation and who adopts it?. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 47: 173- 188.
- Pettigrew, A.M. (1992) The character and significance of strategy process research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13: 5-16.
- Pucik, V. (1996) Human resources in the future: an obstacle or a champion of globalization? Working paper for Center for Advanced Human Resource Studies, Cornell University.
- Pfeffer, J. (1998) Seven practices of successful organizations. *California Management Review*, 40: 96- 124.
- Porter, M.E. (1985) *Competitive Advantage*. The Free Press: New York.
- Rodriguez, J.M. & Ventura, J. (2003) Human resource management systems and organizational performance: an analysis of the Spanish manufacturing industry. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(7): 1206- 1226.
- Rosenweig, P.M. & Nohria, N. (1994) Influences on human resource management practices in multinational corporations. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 25: 229- 251.
- Rozhan,O. (1998) Human resource management practice of service organizations: Evidence from selected Malaysian firms. *Journal of Asia- Pacific Business*, 2: 65- 81.
- Rozhan,O., Rohayu A.G. and Rasidah, A..(2001) Great expectations- CEO's perception of the performance gap of the HR function in the Malaysian manufacturing sector. *Personnel Review*: 61-80.
- Ruigrok, W. & Van Tulder, R. (1995) *The Logic of International Restructuring*. Routledge: London.
- Rundle, S.J. (1997) Flexibility, adaptiveness and responsiveness as the key success factors in market entry in South East Asia growth wedge. *PhD. Thesis*, Monash University, Victoria.
- Sarachek, B. and Aziz, A.H. (1983) A survey of Malaysian personnel practices and problems. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 2: 61-79.

- Shahid, Y. (2001) Globalization and the challenge for developing countries. *Research paper for World Development Report*, World Bank, www.worldbank.org.
- Sieh, L.M.L (2000) *Taking on the World: Globalization Strategies in Malaysia*. McGraw- Hill: Kuala Lumpur.
- Streeck, W. (1991) More uncertainties: West German facing 1992. *Industrial Relations*, 30: 317-349.
- Tregaskis, O. (1998) HRD in foreign MNEs. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 28(1): 136-163.
- Thompson, M. & Heron, P. (2005) Management capability and high performance work organization. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*. 16: 987- 1003.
- Thurley, K. & Wirdenius, H. (1991) Will management become European? Strategic choices for organizations. *European Management Journal*, 9:127-134.
- Verheul, I. (2004) Commitment or control? Human resource management in female and male- led businesses. Working paper series for EIM Business and Policy Research, Netherlands, www.eim.nl.
- Wagar, T.H. (1998) Determinants of human resource management practices in small firms: Some evidence from Atlantic Canada. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 36: 13- 23.
- Wan, T.W.D., Ong, C.H. & Kok, C.F.V. (2002) Strategic HRM and organizational performance in Singapore. *Compensation and Benefits Review*, 34: 33- 42.
- Wellbourne, T.M. (1998) Strategic and administrative human resource management. *Working paper for Center for Advanced Human Resource Studies*, Cornell University.
- Yuen, E. & Hui, T.K. (1993) Headquarters, host-culture and organizational influences on HRM policies and practices. *Management International Review*, 33: 361-383.
- Zhang, M. & Edwards, C. (2003) HRM practice and the influence of the “Country of Origin” in Chinese MNCs operating in the UK. Paper presented for IIRA 13th World Congress, Berlin.